

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/034,004	MODI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Theresa O' Rourke.

Date of Interview: 9/23/04

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability, Part II above.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. O'Rourke in order to overcome the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of record. Claim 35, which depended on claim 32, was cancelled as redundant given that it disclosed the same claim limitation that was already set forth in claim 32.